

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/626,563	07/25/2003	Daniel J. Kessler	109889	109889 9337		
25944	7590 12/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC	LOCKETT, K	LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDIA	A, VA 22320		2837			
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/200	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					H	
Office Action Summary		Application No	•	Applicant(s)		
		10/626,563		KESSLER, DANIEL J.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Kim R. Lockett		2837		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS Consideration ATE OF THIS Consideration (A) and will expire the application of the ATE OF THIS CONSIDERATION (A) and the ATE OF THIS C	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	. ely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/26/</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-fir	rmal matters, pro		ts is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7-16 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-16 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objec	wn from consider or election require er. eepted or b) obding of the diameter of the diameter of the diameter of the election is required if the election is required in the election is required.	ement. Djected to by the Ed in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
·	•	Carring Co. Troto Cr.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	. —	1			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, 7, and 8 is withdrawn. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,7, 10, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hearfield.

Hearfield discloses the use of a protective instrument cover comprising a front portion that extends over substantially an entire front surface of a stringed instrument body and is between the stringed instrument body and a string of the stringed instrument body; and means for attaching the front portion of the front surface of the stringed instrument body without requiring removal of hardware if the stringed instrument body (see figure 1). The protective front and back cover with stretchable material (11) cover as disclosed by Hear field covers a guitar (column 6, lines 45-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hear field in view of Britto et al.

Hearfield does not disclose the specific use of a spandex material.

Britto discloses the use of a cover for a musical instrument using a spandex material (column 4, lines 24,25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stretchable cover as disclosed by Hearfield to include the use of a spandex material as disclosed by Britto in order to provide a flexible protective cover for a musical instrument.

5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hearfield in view of Wise, Jr.

Hearfield does not disclose the use of a neoprene material.

Wise discloses the use of a neoprene material for a musical instrument (column 4, lines 7-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stretchable cover as disclosed by Hearfield to include the use of a neoprene material as disclosed by Wise in order to provide cover for a musical instrument with non slip characteristics.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

6. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hearfield in view of Toth.

Hearfield does not disclose the specific use of a spandex material.

Toth discloses the use of a cover for a musical instrument using latex material (column 2, lines 20-,22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stretchable cover as disclosed by Hearfield to include the use of latex as disclosed by Toth in order to provide a protective cover for a musical instrument with sufficient elasticity.

7. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hear field in view of Messina.

Hear field does not disclose the specific use of a zipper.

Messina discloses the use of a cover for a musical instrument with attachment means that includes a zipper (22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stretchable cover as disclosed by Hear field to include the zipper as disclosed by Messina in order to provide a securing mean for a flexible a musical instrument cover.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues a lack of motivation for combining the references.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

However the cited references are all directed to a cover for a musical instrument, the differences being a variance of stretchable materials. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). The Britto patent is used because Britto discloses the use of a specific material to protect a musical instrument. Britto is not cited for structural purposes. The structural elements in the Hearfield reference meet the applicant's structural elements of the applicant's invention with the exception of a zipper. The zipper is clearly disclosed in the musical instrument cover as disclosed by Messina. Even though Hearfield recites leather as being an "ideal material" as the applicant argues. Hearfield does not specifically disclose that his cover can only be made from leather. Furthermore, Hearfield clearly discloses the use of a of a protective instrument cover comprising a front portion that extends over substantially an entire front surface of a stringed instrument body and is between the stringed instrument body and a string of the stringed instrument body; and means for attaching the front portion of the front surface of the stringed instrument body without requiring removal of hardware if the stringed instrument body. The protective front and back cover with stretchable material (11) cover as disclosed by Hear field covers a guitar (column 6, lines 45-50).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at 703-872-9306.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615, after 2/3/04 my new number will be (571) 272-2067. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107.

PRIMARY EXAMINER